PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the: INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT	ſΥ		
To:]	PCT
Madderns 1st Floor Wolf Blass House 64 Hindmarsh Square ADELAIDE SA 5000		I F	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
THE ENTINE ON SOOU			(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	8 OCT 2004
Applicant's or agent's file reference 24707PCT		FOR FURTHER ACT	
International application No.	International filing date		Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/AU2004/001264 International Patent Classification (IPC) or	17 September 2004		19 September 2003
Int. Cl. ⁷ E04F 11/18, E04H 17/16, H			
Applicant			
HARKK PTY LTD et al			
1. This opinion contains indications relat	ing to the following ite	ems:	
X Box No. I Basis of the opinion	1	•	·
Box No. II Priority			•
Box No. III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive step ar	nd industrial applicability
Box No. IV Lack of unity of inv	ention		
Box No. V Reasoned statement citations and explan	under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) ations supporting such st	with regard to novelty, in	eventive step or industrial applicability;
Box No. VI Certain documents of	cited		
Box No. VII Certain defects in th	e international application	on	
Box No. VIII Certain observations	s on the international app	olication .	
2. FURTHER ACTION			
Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA	") except that this does n ified the International Bu	ot apply where the applic	red to be a written opinion of the International ant chooses an Authority other than this one to b) that written opinions of this International
If this opinion is, as provided above, cons written reply together, where appropriate, PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 2	with amendments, before	re the expiration of 3 mon	ths from the date of mailing of Form
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/22	20.		
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/IS	SA/220.		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU	-	Authorized Officer	
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRAL	IA	LEOPOLD FILIPO	OVIC
E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		Telephone No. (02) 6	
- 405mme 140. (02) 0203 3727			

WR FEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001264

Bo	x No. 1 Basis of the opinion
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material
	in written format in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:

WK FEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No

PCT/AU2004/001264

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or indus applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			inventive step or industrial
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-6, 8-11	YES	
		Claims 7	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 5, 6 and 8-11	YES	
		Claims 1-4 and 7	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-11	YES	
	Claims	NO	

2. Citations and explanations:

The documents cited in the International Search Report have been considered for the purpose of this opinion.

Claims 1-6

The claimed invention is not disclosed in any of the patent documents cited and hence all the claims are novel.

The invention defined in claims 1-4 does not involve an inventive step when compared with EP 833018. The only difference between the invention defined by claims 1, 2 and 4 and the citation resides in the provision of an elongate recessed channel on an underside of each rail portion, the panel being supported within the recessed channel. I consider that this difference constitutes no more than a mere workshop improvement. It is an arrangement that any competent worker in the art would be expected to make directly and without difficulty and by routine steps alone. Similarly for claim 3.

Claims 5 and 6 are considered to be novel as none of the documents cited discloses the feature added by claim 5. The claimed invention is not obvious in the light of any of the cited documents nor is it disclosed in any obvious combination of them. It is also considered that it would not be obvious to a person skilled in the art in the light of common general knowledge either by itself or in combination with any of these documents.

<u>Claims 7-11</u>

EP 833018 which is considered to be the most relevant prior art document discloses all the features of the invention defined in claim 7. Therefore the subject matter of these claims is not new and does not meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT with regard to novelty.

The prior art published before the priority date does not disclose the feature added by claim 8. Therefore the subject matter of claim 8-11 is new and is not obvious and meets the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT with regard to novelty and the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT with regard to inventive step.